

# Flying cars could cut emissions, replace planes, and free up roads – but not soon enough

September 18 2019, by Hugh Hunt

---



Credit: Alex Tim from Pexels

When [Chitty Chitty Bang Bang](#) was released 50 years ago, flying cars were a flight of fancy. Now, these futuristic vehicles are entering the

outer fringes of reality. According to a new study published in [Nature](#), for some journeys flying cars could eventually be greener than even electric road cars, cutting emissions while also reducing traffic on increasingly busy roads.

However, gaps in necessary technology and practical uncertainties beyond the cars' promising physics mean that they may not arrive in time to be a large-scale solution to the energy crisis and congestion—if at all.

## How to make a car fly

It might at first seem crazy that a flying car could be more efficient than a road car, especially when conventional planes have such a reputation as gas guzzlers. But flying isn't inherently inefficient—after all, birds can fly between continents without eating. Of course, a small, four-passenger car isn't an albatross, but it isn't a Boeing 737 either.

There are many ways to make a car fly, but most are too problematic to get off the ground. Perhaps the most promising option is that taken in this study, based on the physics of vertical take-off and landing (VTOL) aircraft. They're pretty amazing beasts.

If you've heard of VTOL, something like a Harrier Jump Jet probably springs to mind, with two huge engines directing thrust that can be tilted vertically or horizontally. But these much smaller and lighter flying cars operate differently, with lots of tiny electric fans blowing air from many places. This fast-developing distributed [electric propulsion](#) (DEP) technology is key for efficiency when cruising, and it also creates possibilities for quieter take-off and hovering, as multiple small noise sources can be better managed.

Wing and propeller design can also be optimized to be long, thin, and have lots of moving surfaces, just as birds do to make their flying

efficient. The aim of all of these technical enhancements is to achieve maximum lift for minimum drag—the force that opposes an object's motion through air and slows it down. A better lift-to-drag ratio means [lower power consumption](#), and therefore lower emissions.

These energy-saving innovations make cruising a breeze—but they don't help much with take-off, hovering, or landing, which are still inherently inefficient. So while VTOL flying vehicles are still viable for short intra-city travel and [pizza deliveries](#), they will not solve the energy crisis.

For 100km journeys, electric flying vehicles could be 35% more efficient than a petrol-powered car—although, assuming the same number of passengers, still less efficient than an electric road car. However, it's fair to assume that flying cars will serve primarily as taxi services in pre-defined air corridors, and are therefore likely to consistently carry more people. Taking this into account, for a 100km journey flying car emissions could be 6% less than those of electric road cars.

As journey distance increases, so too do the efficiency gains over stop-start road cars, which have to deal with rolling resistance and less efficient airflow. But unfortunately, range is the Achilles heel for electric aviation. The [study](#) looks at a range of up to about 200km and here flying cars could perform well. But while jet-fueled planes can lose as much as 70% of their weight during flight (albeit at a cost of 100kg of CO<sub>2</sub> per passenger per hour), batteries don't get lighter as they discharge. This means that beyond 200km or so, carrying batteries becomes a distinct disadvantage.

The accepted view is that electric planes will only ever be viable for [short-haul flights](#). It's energy density that matters, measured in watt-hours per kilogram. Right now, the best batteries provide around 250 W-h/kg, a mere shadow of jet fuel and gasoline's 12,000 W-h/kg. Batteries

could creep up to 800 W-h/kg by the middle of this century, increasing their feasible range to 700 miles—half of all global flights fall within this distance. But without more dramatic innovation in battery technology, biofuels and liquid fuel from air-capture of CO<sub>2</sub> will likely need to play a substantial role in long-haul air travel.

## Problems in practice

In focusing entirely on the physics of flying cars, the paper steers clear of a number of practicalities that must be considered before we embrace VTOL flying cars as a sustainable form of transport for the future. For example, it is important to consider the carbon costs of production, maintenance and down time, known as Life-Cycle Analysis (LCA). Electric vehicles have been criticized for both the energy and environmental costs of mining primary materials for batteries, such as lithium and cobalt. Added infrastructure required for flight may worsen the problem for flying cars. And of course, a grid powered by low-carbon sources is essential to make battery-powered vehicles part of the solution to our climate crisis.

Aircraft also have highly stringent criteria for maintenance and downtime, which can often [offset gains](#) in performance and emissions. As an entirely new breed of planes, it's impossible to predict how much it might cost to keep them air-worthy. Unforeseen maintenance complications can cost billions—just ask Boeing.

Finally, weather matters. A tailwind of 35mph reduces power use and emissions by 15%, but a 35mph headwind increases them by 25%. Having to carry heavy extra batteries to avoid the potential catastrophe of running out of charge before encountering a suitable landing place could offset emissions savings. Road cars, by contrast, can easily pull over to the side of the road when needed, without consequence.

So when it comes down to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per passenger kilometer, at present these advanced DEP flying cars are at best comparable to their road-going electric equivalents, and, at worst, little better than conventional combustion cars. With technology and safety improvements, they could yet play a part in our fossil-fuel-free future, taking short-haul planes out of our skies and freeing up fume-filled roads. The question on everyone's lips is whether these flying cars will be ready in time to make a jot of difference to our very pressing [energy crisis](#). Can we wait 30 years?

This article is republished from [The Conversation](#) under a Creative Commons license. Read the [original article](#).

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Flying cars could cut emissions, replace planes, and free up roads – but not soon enough (2019, September 18) retrieved 20 March 2024 from <https://techxplore.com/news/2019-09-cars-emissions-planes-free-roads.html>

<p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p>
--