

Automated construction site productivity and quality monitoring

February 13 2020



Rudus Case Study System Architecture

Credit: Aalto University

If you want to improve the productivity and quality of construction work, you need an efficient way to monitor progress and detect quality issues on a daily basis. Aalto University's Reality Capture (RECAP) project examined how photogrammetry and machine learning applications could be used for that purpose.



Aalto's Intelligent Construction Site (iCONS) project had demonstrated how process inefficiencies become visible when IoT sensors are used to track the positions and movements of workers and materials. However, researchers still had to interpret photos and videos to determine how the data correlated with the <u>construction</u> schedule, plans, and design models. The following project—Reality Capture—was conceived as an effort to investigate if, and how, the collection and interpretation of visual data could be automated.

The RECAP project was funded by Business Finland, Aalto University, and a consortium of five companies, including construction firms Fira and YIT and precast and ready-mix concrete manufacturer Rudus. The two IT providers were Vionice, a computer vision firm, and Umbra, a graphics software developer.

The project released its final report on January 30, 2020, with some interesting findings about the feasibility of current technologies in automating visual construction site monitoring.

The Challenges of Production and Quality Control in Construction

The RECAP research team started off with a round of interviews with the consortium companies and a selection of contractors from California, Brazil, and China.

All the interviewees were unanimous about the difficulties inherent in obtaining real-time and accurate data from a construction site. Consequently, managers struggle to keep workers engaged in the right tasks, at the right time. As a result, workers can rush into taking on unplanned tasks, which often results in incomplete work that has to finalized later in haste.



It seems to be common practice that both production and quality control are performed manually. In Brazil, specifically, quality inspection is costly and trained inspectors are in short supply. That, together with the reluctance to report quality issues, leads to excessive variation in quality.

The interviewed professionals agree that monitoring of progress and productivity require better solutions, especially for MEP installations. Furthermore, the comparison between what is planned and what has been built is still arduous. Some companies are trying out software that can automatically compare point clouds and building information models, but the technology is still in its infancy.

Automatic Detection of Work Progress

The project consortium chose five specific use cases. Three studies explored the possibilities for automated progress monitoring and two focused on quality inspections.

Fira's two projects used photos that workers recorded during the plumbing renovation of a set of seven bathrooms and six kitchens. The purpose of the trial was to determine whether automated analysis of work progress would be possible using the photos. In such a case, the site manager could use the data to remotely monitor the progress and make necessary planning and management decisions accordingly.

The research team developed a progress inspection system that processed batches of photos associated with location and time data. The core of the system was a machine learning solution that used photos recorded using a tailor-made Android app. The system was designed to use pairs of consecutive photos from the construction site. The researchers were pleased to learn that the system was able to attain a good level of accuracy in determining the progress of construction work.



In the third project, YIT used a crane camera system developed by Pix4D. The system generates both 2-D images and 3-D point clouds of the construction site. The researchers used two alternative algorithms to generate models for automated recognition of progress in concrete slab construction. The results of the AI-based analysis were, astonishingly, 100% accurate.

Automated Quality Inspections

Another YIT project explored the feasibility of automated quality inspections of door moldings in a housing project. Workers made video recordings of each completed apartment, and an <u>automated process</u> determined—from the images—whether the quality of the moldings was acceptable. This proved to be a difficult task as there were not enough poor-quality examples to train the system properly. With more material, the inspection would be quite usable in real-life situations.

The fifth project took place in a precast staircase manufacturing plant of Rudus. The intention was to determine whether staircase rebars had been mounted as specified in the building information model. The researchers used a mini-PC and a camera attached to a bridge crane. The image data collected by this setup was sent to an Aalto server and laid over a preprocessed BIM model of the staircase. An inspector could scrutinize the model and reinforcement progress data via a web browser on Umbra's cloud platform.

The researchers found that rebar detection was indeed feasible, but owing to the relatively small number of high-quality labeled images, it did not reach sufficient accuracy in this project.

The Future of AR and AI in Construction Monitoring and Control



In addition to previously described pilot case studies, RECAP created an experimental augmented reality application for on-site use. The app offers a visual interface to cloud-based AI-powered processing of image data. It serves the three needs that contractors had determined as most critical when asked during the project: 1) to report on renovation progress and productivity monitoring, 2) to flag any defects, and 3) to share information with colleagues. The app heralds the kind of tools we'll see more of in the future on construction sites.

The researchers involved in the Reality Capture project concluded that it is technically feasible to use AI, point clouds, and images in construction progress monitoring and control. Still, they considered data collection as the bottleneck in the process. Without sufficient data, learning algorithms will not be sufficiently accurate for large-scale use.

360-degree helmet-mounted cameras will possibly come to the rescue, but even they require a lot of manual labeling work. Commercial implementations are easiest in repetitive interiors—for example, in hotel rooms, bathrooms, and kitchens.

The use of crane cameras currently offers the most promising technology for detecting work progress on a construction site. Moreover, if BIM models are available, the technique becomes even more accurate.

In summary, machine vision and deep learning methods require data that is not yet readily available. If data collection and its post-processing can be automated, the construction industry will take a big step toward a more industrialized production system.

More information: Reality Capture (RECAP) project final report: <u>www.aalto.fi/sites/g/files/flg</u> ... <u>final report v1.pdf</u>



Provided by Aalto University

Citation: Automated construction site productivity and quality monitoring (2020, February 13) retrieved 3 May 2024 from https://techxplore.com/news/2020-02-automated-site-productivity-quality.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.