

# Why is it so hard to stop COVID-19 misinformation spreading on social media?

April 14 2020, by Tobias R. Keller and Rosalie Gillett

---

## Know the facts

To make sure you get updated information on the coronavirus (COVID-19), resources are available from the Australian Government and the World Health Organization.

[Australia.gov.au](https://www.australia.gov.au)

[World Health Organization](https://www.who.int)

Twitter is directing users to trustworthy information. Credit: [Twitter.com](https://twitter.com)

Even before the coronavirus arrived to turn life upside down and [trigger a global infodemic](#), social media platforms were under growing pressure to curb the spread of misinformation.

Last year, Facebook cofounder and chief executive Mark Zuckerberg called for [new rules](#) to address "[harmful content](#), election integrity, privacy and data portability."

Now, amid a rapidly evolving pandemic, when [more people than ever are](#)

[using social media for news and information](#), it is more crucial than ever that people can trust this content.

Digital platforms are now taking more steps to tackle misinformation about COVID-19 on their services. In a [joint statement](#), Facebook, Google, LinkedIn, Microsoft, Reddit, Twitter, and YouTube have pledged to work together to combat misinformation.

Facebook has traditionally taken a less proactive approach to countering misinformation. A [commitment to protecting free expression](#) has led the [platform](#) to allow [misinformation in political advertising](#).

More recently, however, Facebook's spam filter [inadvertently marked legitimate news information about COVID-19 as spam](#). While [Facebook has since fixed the mistake](#), this incident demonstrated the limitations of automated moderation tools.

In a step in the right direction, Facebook is allowing national ministries of health and reliable organisations to [advertise accurate information on COVID-19 free of charge](#). Twitter, which prohibits political advertising, is [allowing links](#) to the Australian Department of Health and World Health Organization websites.

Twitter has also announced a suite of changes to its [rules](#), including updates to how it defines harm so as to address content that goes against authoritative public health information, and an increase in its use of machine learning and automation technologies to detect and remove potentially abusive and manipulative content.

## **Previous attempts unsuccessful**

Unfortunately, Twitter has been unsuccessful in its recent attempts to tackle misinformation (or, more accurately, disinformation—incorrect

information posted deliberately with an intent to obfuscate).

The platform has begun to label doctored videos and photos as "[manipulated media](#)". The crucial first test of this initiative was a [widely circulated altered video](#) of Democratic presidential candidate Joe Biden, in which part of a sentence was edited out to make it sound as if he was forecasting President Donald Trump's re-election.

It took Twitter [18 hours](#) to label the video, by which time it had already received 5 million views and 21,000 retweets.

The label appeared below the video (rather than in a more prominent place), and was only visible to the roughly 757,000 accounts who followed the video's original poster, White House social media director [Dan Scavino](#). Users who saw the content via retweets from the White House (21 million followers) or President Donald Trump (76 million followers), did not see the label.

## Labelling misinformation doesn't work

There are four key reasons why Twitter's (and [other platforms'](#)) attempts to label misinformation were ineffective.

First, [social media platforms](#) tend to use automated algorithms for these tasks, because they scale well. But labelling manipulated tweets requires human labour; algorithms cannot decipher complex human interactions. Will social media platforms invest in human labour to solve this issue? The odds [are long](#).

Second, tweets can be [shared millions of times](#) before being labelled. Even if removed, they can easily be edited and then reposted to avoid algorithmic detection.

Third, and more fundamentally, labels may even be counterproductive, serving only to pique the audience's interest. Conversely, labels may actually [amplify misinformation](#) rather than curtailing it.

Finally, the creators of deceptive content can deny their content was an attempt to obfuscate, and claim unfair censorship, knowing that they will find a sympathetic audience within the hyper-partisan arena of social media.

## **So how can we beat misinformation?**

The situation might seem impossible, but there are some practical strategies that the media, social media platforms, and the public can use.

First, unless the misinformation has already reached a wide audience, [avoid drawing extra attention to it](#). Why give it more oxygen than it deserves?

Second, if misinformation has reached the point at which it requires debunking, be sure to stress the facts rather than simply fanning the flames. Refer to experts and trusted sources, and use the "truth sandwich," in which you state the truth, and then the misinformation, and finally restate the truth again.

Third, social [media](#) platforms should be [more willing to remove or restrict unreliable content](#). This might include disabling likes, shares and retweets for particular posts, and banning users who repeatedly misinform others.

For example, Twitter recently removed coronavirus misinformation posted by [Rudy Guilani and Charlie Kirk](#); the [Infowars app was removed from Google's app store](#); and probably with the highest impact, [Facebook, Twitter, and Google's YouTube removed corona](#)

[misinformation from Brasil's president Jair Bolsonaro.](#)

Finally, all of us, as [social media](#) users, have a crucial role to play in combating [misinformation](#). Before sharing something, think carefully about where it came from. Verify the source and its evidence, double-check with independent other sources, and report suspicious content to the platform directly. Now, more than ever, we need information we can trust.

This article is republished from [The Conversation](#) under a Creative Commons license. Read the [original article](#).

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Why is it so hard to stop COVID-19 misinformation spreading on social media? (2020, April 14) retrieved 26 April 2024 from <https://techxplore.com/news/2020-04-hard-covid-misinformation-social-media.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.