

Zero-trust security: Assume everyone on the internet is out to get you—and already has

May 21 2021, by Scott Shackelford



Credit: CC0 Public Domain

President Joe Biden's [cybersecurity executive order](#), signed May 12, 2021, calls for the federal government to adopt a "zero-trust architecture."

This raises a couple of questions. What is zero-trust security? And, if trust is bad for cybersecurity, why do most organizations in government and the private sector do it?

One consequence of too much trust online is the ransomware epidemic, a [growing global problem](#) that affects organizations large and small. High-profile breaches such as the one experienced by the [Colonial Pipeline](#) are merely the tip of the iceberg.

There were [at least 2,354 ransomware attacks](#) on local governments, [health care facilities](#) and schools in the U.S. last year. Although estimates vary, losses to ransomware seem to have [tripled in 2020](#) to more than US\$300,000 per incident. And ransomware attacks are [growing more sophisticated](#).

A recurring theme in many of these breaches is misplaced trust—in vendors, employees, software and hardware. As a [scholar of cybersecurity policy](#) with a recent [report on this topic](#), I have been interested in questions of trust. I'm also the executive director of the [Ostrom Workshop](#). The Workshop's [Program on Cybersecurity and Internet Governance](#) focuses on many of the tenets of zero-trust security by looking to analogies—including public health and sustainable development—to build resilience in distributed systems.

Security without trust

Trust in the context of computer networks refers to systems that allow people or other computers access with little or no verification of who they are and whether they are authorized to have access. [Zero Trust](#) is a security model that takes for granted that threats are omnipresent inside and outside networks. Zero trust instead relies on continuous verification via information from multiple sources. In doing so, this approach assumes the inevitability of a data breach. Instead of focusing

exclusively on preventing breaches, zero-trust security ensures instead that damage is limited, and that the system is resilient and can quickly recover.

Using the [public health analogy](#), a zero-trust approach to cybersecurity assumes that an infection is only a cough—or, in this case, a click—away, and focuses on building an immune system capable of dealing with whatever novel virus may come along. Put another way, instead of defending a castle, this model assumes that the invaders are already inside the walls.

It's not hard to see the benefits of the zero-trust model. If the Colonial Pipeline company had adopted it, for example, the ransomware attack would likely have failed and people wouldn't have been [panic-buying](#) gasoline in recent days. And if zero-trust security were widespread, the ransomware epidemic would be a lot less biting.

Four obstacles to shedding trust

But there are at least [four main barriers to achieving zero trust](#) in government and private computer systems.

First, legacy systems and infrastructure are often impossible to upgrade to become zero-trust. Achieving zero-trust security requires a [layered defense](#), which involves building multiple layers of security, not unlike a stack of Swiss cheese. But this is challenging in systems that were not built with this goal in mind, because it requires [independent verification](#) at every layer.

Second, even if it's possible to upgrade, it's going to cost you. It is costly, time-consuming and potentially disruptive to redesign and redeploy systems, especially if they are custom-made. The U.S. Department of Defense alone [operates more than 15,000 networks](#) in 4,000 installations

spread across 88 countries.

Third, [peer-to-peer technologies](#), like computers running Windows 10 on a local network, run counter to zero trust because they rely mostly on passwords, not real-time, multifactor authentication. Passwords can be cracked by computers rapidly checking many possible passwords—[brute-force attacks](#)—whereas real-time, [multifactor authentication](#) requires passwords and one or more additional forms of verification, typically a code sent by email or text. Google recently announced its decision to [mandate multifactor authentication](#) for all its users.

Fourth, migrating an organization's information systems from in-house computers to cloud services can boost zero trust, but only if it's done right. This calls for creating new applications in the cloud rather than simply moving existing applications into the cloud. But organizations have to know to plan for zero-trust security when moving to the cloud. The 2018 [DoD Cloud Strategy](#), for example, does not even reference "zero trust."

Enter the Biden administration

The Biden administration's [executive order](#) attempts to foster a layered defense to address the nation's cybersecurity woes. The executive order followed several recommendations from the 2020 Cyberspace Solarium Commission, a commission formed by Congress to develop a strategic approach to defending the U.S. in cyberspace.

Among other things, it builds from zero-trust frameworks propounded by the National Institute for Standards and Technology. It also taps the Department of Homeland Security to take the lead on implementing these zero-trust techniques, including in its cloud-based programs.

I believe that when coupled with other initiatives spelled out in the executive order—such as creating a [Cybersecurity Safety Board](#) and imposing new requirements for [software supply chain security](#) for federal vendors—zero-trust security takes the U.S. in the right direction.

However, the executive order applies only to government systems. It wouldn't have stopped the Colonial Pipeline ransomware attack, for instance. Getting the country as a whole on a more secure footing requires helping the private sector adopt these [security](#) practices, and that will require [action from Congress](#).

This article is republished from [The Conversation](#) under a Creative Commons license. Read the [original article](#).

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Zero-trust security: Assume everyone on the internet is out to get you—and already has (2021, May 21) retrieved 5 May 2024 from <https://techxplore.com/news/2021-05-zero-trust-assume-internet-youand.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.