


Disagreement may be a way to make online content spread faster, further

July 22 2021, by Robert Wells



Credit: CC0 Public Domain

Disagreement seems to spread online posts faster and further than agreement, according to a new study from the University of Central Florida.

The finding comes from an examination of posts labeled controversial



on social news aggregation site Reddit. To perform the study, the researchers analyzed more than 47,000 posts about cybersecurity in a Reddit dataset that was collected by the Computational Simulation of Online Social Behavior (SocialSim) program of the U.S. Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency.

Researchers found that these posts were seen by nearly twice the number of people and traveled nearly twice as fast when compared to posts not labeled controversial. The findings were published recently in the *Journal of Computational Social Science*.

Reddit is one of the most visited websites in the U.S. A post is labeled controversial by a Reddit algorithm if it receives a certain number of polarized views, or a moderator can label a post with any number of comments as controversial.

The posts analyzed in the study included topics that wouldn't be considered traditionally controversial but were labeled as so by Reddit, such as a personal computer giveaway offer.

The research is important because it shows that disagreement may be a powerful way to get people to pay attention to messages, says study coauthor Ivan Garibay, an associate professor in UCF's Department of Industrial Engineering and Management Systems.

However, he advises caution to those inducing disagreement in their social media posts.

"There may be an incentive in terms of influence and audience size for a social media user to consistently include controversial and provocative topics on their posts," Garibay says. "This benefits the person posting the messages. However, controversial comments can be divisive, which could contribute to a polarized audience and society."



Reddit's definition of a controversial post, which tends to depend on increasing numbers of both likes and dislikes, is different than the traditional advertiser's definition of a controversial post, which would contain truly provocative or taboo messaging, says Yael Zemack-Rugar, an associate professor in UCF's Department of Marketing.

"To give this idea life, you may like a recent ad for Toyota, and I may not," Zemack-Rugar says. "This will not make it controversial. But if the ad featured Colin Kaepernick, as the Nike ad did in 2018, after he recently refused to recite the national anthem during his games, now we are talking controversial. There is an underlying tone that is much deeper and more meaningful."

Reddit posts are also more akin to word-of-mouth communication since they are user generated and not paid advertising, she says.

The study's findings are consistent with past research that has found that traditional controversy increases the spread of word of mouth and discussions online, especially when contributions are anonymous, as they somewhat are on Reddit, Zemack-Rugar says.

Of the more than 47,000 posts, approximately 23,000 posts were labeled controversial, and about 24,000 were noncontroversial.

The researchers found an association between controversially labeled comments and the collective attention that the audience paid to them.

For the controversial posts, there were more than 60,000 total comments, whereas for the noncontroversial posts, there were less than 25,000 total comments.

A <u>network analysis</u> examining the reach and speed of the posts, showed that nearly twice the number of people saw controversial content



compared to noncontroversial content and that controversial content traveled nearly twice as fast.

The researchers limited posts in their analysis to those that had at least 100 comments.

Jasser Jasser, a doctoral student in UCF's Department of Computer Science, and the study's lead author, says the findings highlight the need to better understand why the content labeled in Reddit as controversial spreads.

"The next step in this work is to analyze the language used to induce such controversy and why it brings the attention of the social media users," Jasser says.

More information: Jasser Jasser et al, Controversial information spreads faster and further than non-controversial information in Reddit, *Journal of Computational Social Science* (2021). DOI: 10.1007/s42001-021-00121-z

Provided by University of Central Florida

Citation: Disagreement may be a way to make online content spread faster, further (2021, July 22) retrieved 4 May 2024 from https://techxplore.com/news/2021-07-disagreement-online-content-faster.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.