

Earthquakes don't kill people, buildings do, and those lovely decorative bits are the first to fall

September 22 2021, by Ann L. Brower



Credit: Andrea Piacquadio from Pexels

News of Melbourne's earthquake today made my left leg hurt. That's the leg I nearly lost.



On February 22 2011, there were nine of us on a red bus from Sumner to Canterbury University in Christchurch. At 12.51, the unreinforced brick facade of 605 Colombo Street crushed our bus and four pedestrians. I felt brick after brick land on my left hip, and wondered how long I would last.

I'm the only one left—the lucky thirteenth.

I was taken to hospital on the back of a stranger's truck. I broke more bones than the surgeons were willing to count, spent two months in hospital, and six months off work. More than a decade later, I feel the earthquake in every step.

During that earthquake, 16 people were killed just on that one block of Christchurch's main street. Melbourne and country Victoria are full of places just like it, with brick facades, parapets and gables.

It wasn't the earthquake that killed everyone but me on that bus. It was the <u>building</u>, its lack of regulation, lack of structural support, and lack of a fence. It wasn't just bad luck.

Changing New Zealand's Building Act to prioritize "fally-offy bits" of buildings was not in my life plan. But extraordinary events can change ordinary life plans. So when I got out of hospital, I set my ordinary environmental work to the side, and took a couple years to brush up on seismology, earthquake engineering, and the seismic safety of buildings.

Five years later, the NZ Parliament ratified the "Brower amendment" to the Building Act to prioritize fixing unreinforced brick decorative bits of buildings. And now I'm asking Victoria to please learn from your Kiwi cousins.

Earthquakes don't kill people; buildings do. And those lovely decorative



bits of buildings are the first to fall, even in relatively mild earthquakes like the ones Victoria gets from time to time.

Building back better

After Christchurch, New Zealand learned our lesson and reformed our building legislation. Victoria can skip the truly painful part of the lesson—the deaths and injuries in the streets—and skip straight to the legislative reform.

The smart thing to do is create a separate category for non-structural unreinforced masonry: parapets (the small decorative extension at the top of a wall), gables and chimneys. This is a good idea because:

- they are the cheapest to fix
- they are the first to fall
- they are the deadliest when they do.

It makes sense to pick the low-hanging fruit first. It will greatly improve the safety of Victoria's streets without costing an arm and a leg—literally or figuratively.

Here, the Canterbury Earthquakes Royal Commission recommended it. And GNS Science calls parapets, gables and chimneys "dangerous", even in cities with low earthquake risk, such as Auckland, Dunedin and Melbourne.

The building that collapsed on our bus was expected to fall. Everyone knows unreinforced bricks fall. To me, the most predictable losses are the least acceptable. This is especially true when the methods of prevention are as known and straightforward as securing a parapet to the building's structural core.



Fixing the parapets first has the highest ratio of safety gains to cost. There is no complicated inspection required. Parapets do not hold the building up.

Attaching them securely is less disruptive to the activity inside the building than replacing them entirely. That said, they could easily also be replaced with lighter, less deadly materials, as is often done in California.

The building that collapsed onto us was worth NZ\$30,000 (A\$29,000), according to its 2007 government valuation. The Royal Commission heard that attaching the front facade to the building would have cost NZ\$200,000 (A\$194,000).

By my calculations, saving my left leg cost taxpayers about half a million dollars.

Fixing parapets, gables and chimneys first is also equitable. Unreinforced masonry buildings pose a greater public danger to passersby than other types of quake-prone buildings that risk collapsing inwards. Placarding the buildings will do nothing for those in the street and on the footpath.

Allowing the parapets to persist unattached benefits only the owner. The risks, meanwhile, are transferred to the public, and onto the public health system if an accident happens.

Fixing the most dangerous and least expensive bits first is also a costeffective way to preserve heritage buildings. Fixing the fally-offiest bits might render unnecessary the full building strengthening, and might prompt owners to spend the money now rather than in several decades' time when the entire building needs repairs.



I am not saying all buildings in Victoria or Melbourne should be whizz-bang "earthquake-proof" like those in Tokyo or San Francisco. I am recommending cheap, effective fixes to the bits of buildings that are easiest to fix, and deadliest if you don't.

I treasure my left leg, scars and all. But please, Australia, learn from your Kiwi cousins.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Earthquakes don't kill people, buildings do, and those lovely decorative bits are the first to fall (2021, September 22) retrieved 9 April 2024 from https://techxplore.com/news/2021-09-earthquakes-dont-people-bits-fall.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.