

9/11's legacy of drone warfare has changed how we view the military

September 7 2021, by Vicky Karyoti



Credit: Pixabay/CC0 Public Domain

In October 2001, nearly one month after the September 11 attacks on the World Trade Center, a US Air Force pilot made history as the <u>first person</u> to conduct a lethal strike with a modern drone—<u>the Predator</u>.

In the months and years following that first <u>strike</u>, the <u>drone</u>—or remotely piloted aircraft (RPA), as it is referred to by military professionals—has become the <u>"weapon of choice"</u> for the states who



can afford them.

The "war on terror" that ensued demanded the use of military means, but did not have the legal or conceptual <u>legitimacy required</u> to convince the public in Nato countries that human casualties were necessary. The "war on terror," due to its elusive nature as a war with blurry and unachievable objectives, has resulted in the west fighting an <u>"endless war"</u>.

The Bureau of Investigative Journalism, a nonprofit news organization, estimates that <u>since 2015</u>, the US has conducted more than 14,000 <u>drone strikes</u> in Afghanistan alone.

The relentless push by governments for more drone strikes has led to three key military developments. First, the global development of drones has expanded, as evidenced by the <u>use of drones</u> by major global powers—such as China and Russia—as well as <u>regional ones</u>. Many US allies and specifically Nato members are equipping their <u>armed forces</u> with drones, either for ISR (intelligence, surveillance, reconnaissance) missions, or to arm them and use them in combat functions.

In the European defense environment, some countries are <u>developing</u> their own drone production programs.

Fighting from afar

The second development is a natural consequence of the first: in order to use drones, someone has to <u>fly them</u>. In a way that is hardly different from manned aircraft, a crew is required for the operation of drones. Military professionals who have been trained on the particular type of aircraft they are operating do so from within a cockpit, according to the relevant codes of conduct and <u>laws</u> of war.

The third development is the arming of the drone. The US began this



after 9/11, but recently other countries, such as <u>France</u>, have armed their military drones as well.

Drones are not a modern invention—they were created very soon after the birth of aviation, with the 1920s and 1930s seeing a spike in the efforts to create a weapon that can <u>kill from a distance</u>. Enter the guided missile, which was remotely guided, expendable and had no surveillance capabilities.

What makes the modern drone so different is that its crew is protected from harm. It can be precise enough to avoid collateral damage compared to more indiscriminate weapons, and it is connected to a network that allows the real-time view of its feed by military and technology professionals.

The crew has to follow, survey and collect information about a target for months and sometimes years before conducting a strike. Then, drone crews have to sit through the aftermath of their attack for a "bomb hit assessment."

There is a lack of transparency around drone operations, attributed to the highly sensitive nature of information regarding military operations. One process not often discussed publicly is the request and confirmation of authorisation (from top officials, such as the US president) before a crew engages lethally, as well as the circumstances and criteria behind target selection.

Misconceptions

This secrecy has led to popular misconceptions about drones, how drone operations are conducted, and drone pilots themselves.

These military professionals are pilots, either allocated to fly drones, or



recruited and trained exclusively for that function. While they have responsibility and accountability for their actions on a mission, both the military profession and society views them unfavorably.

Flying drones has been mockingly compared to <u>playing video games</u>, and drone pilots are being denied the <u>same career opportunities</u> as fighter pilots, as demonstrated by the lack of drone pilots in the higher echelons of the various forces that use drones.

Drone pilots <u>experience PTSD symptoms</u>, but are hardly taken seriously even by their <u>military colleagues</u>. At the same time, society's view on drone strikes is highly ambivalent: while for some it is a necessary evil that can protect our own forces from having to deploy and engage in risky situations, for others it spells the <u>death of military virtue</u>.

Our <u>post-heroic</u> societies no longer tolerate losses of our own people. At the same time, they demonize or ostracize the very people who strive to operate in hostile environments <u>from a distance</u>.

Both sides have arguments in their favor, but while this discussion is taking place, the <u>latest drone strike</u> of August 31 in Afghanistan resulted in ten civilian deaths, seven of whom were children. This tragedy is only the latest in the death strikes that started with the attacks of 9/11 and have exacerbated society's desensitization of war casualties and lowered trust in international law.

Victims of drone strikes are often killed indiscriminately and without prior trial or fulfilling Geneva conventions criteria for the status of "combatant." Two decades later, the legacy of 9/11 has been a completely changed international security environment, a distrust towards governments and their respect for human rights, and the way we fight our wars.



This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: 9/11's legacy of drone warfare has changed how we view the military (2021, September 7) retrieved 3 May 2024 from

https://techxplore.com/news/2021-09-legacy-drone-warfare-view-military.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.