

# Drone delivery is a thing now. But how feasible is having it everywhere, and would we even want it?

October 27 2022, by Jonathan Roberts



Credit: Pixabay/CC0 Public Domain

In recent years, cafes, supermarkets and online shops have started to trial drone delivery in a handful of locations around the world. More than a



dozen drone delivery companies are now running such trials.

Just this week, Wing (owned by Google's parent company Alphabet) announced a partnership with Australian supermarket giant Coles to deliver small items via drone to customers close to a Gold Coast supermarket. Wing is already operating in parts of Canberra and Logan, Queensland.

Given the technical success of various trials so far, it is worth exploring whether drone delivery might become mainstream and can actually be scaled up geographically.

As you would expect, the answer is "it depends." There are many issues when considering drones around people, such as safety and infrastructure. For example, a recent <u>crash of a delivery drone on electricity lines</u> in a suburb of Logan left thousands without power.

There is also potential unwanted noise and visual pollution, and a perceived issue around privacy.

# Safety first

Adding potentially dozens of small aircraft to the sky above our homes, workplaces and roads each day is a serious business. As you would hope, currently the operation of commercial drones is a highly regulated undertaking in most countries.

"Australian raven attacks drone delivering coffee in Canberra"

Nature fights back.<u>https://t.co/iIhHZ8cxw5</u> <u>pic.twitter.com/j7ySYPVMRz</u>

— Emil Protalinski (@EPro) <u>September 27, 2021</u>



In Australia, the Civil Aviation Safety Authority <u>has strict regulations</u> that aim to make the operation of drones as safe as possible. They also *prohibit* drone use if the craft can't be used safely in a given situation.

In fact, Australia was one of the first countries to have drone regulations. For example, you cannot fly a drone close to an airport, or directly over people.

Commercial operators of drones are acutely aware of this and gain a license to operate—it is not in anyone's interest to operate unsafely, and it would be bad for business.

# A limited geographic market—for now

To satisfy the requirement of operating drones safely, delivery operators focus on flying drones over unpopulated land, generally very low-density areas, and in particular the urban fringe. These are newer suburbs where drone flight paths can be planned to eliminate or minimize safety issues, such as an unexpected crash.

It is no coincidence Wing has been running drone delivery trials in low-density areas of Southeast Queensland, and outer <u>Canberra suburbs</u>. These places are ideal for drone delivery and a great place to continue to develop this business, even if the <u>odd bird attack can disrupt things</u>.

But drone delivery in dense parts of major cities? This is very unlikely in the medium term, due to extreme difficulty in safely operating drones in dense suburbs.

If you live in an <u>apartment building</u>, where would the delivery take place? On the roof? Maybe, if your building was set up for it. This is where scaling up faces the largest difficulties, and the logistics of running potentially hundreds of drones from a distribution center



become truly challenging.

However, if there was a high demand for it, and the right investments were made, it is feasible that <u>drone delivery</u> to dense city areas could be achieved.

But just because it might be technically possible, doesn't mean it *will* happen. The long-term business case would need to make sense, of course. But there is a more critical issue in the near term—the <u>social</u> license to operate.

## A social license

A social license is not an official thing, a government body does not issue one. It is more about whether the <u>general public</u> accepts and supports the new thing.

At the end of the day, this <u>social acceptance</u> is what often determines the success or failure of widespread uptake of new technology, such as delivery drones.

Take nuclear power, for example. Many countries have nuclear power and the public there seem happy with that. Other countries had a social license for nuclear power and lost it, such as Japan. In Australia we do not have a social license for <u>nuclear power</u>, but that does not mean we won't gain it in the future.

A social license is an ever-evolving construct based on the pros and cons of a technology, all of which is influenced by its perceived value. Most people are now seemingly comfortable to be tracked 24 hours a day by their smartphones, as they believe the benefits outweigh the potential negative impacts.



It is likely we already have a solid social license to use drones to <u>deliver</u> <u>emergency life-saving medicine</u> to people in need. In a potential life-ordeath situation like that, it is easy to see that normally the benefits outweigh any risks or inconvenience to others.

But delivering a coffee or a tube of toothpaste by <u>drone</u>? I think the social license for that is up for grabs. At this point in time, it could go either way.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

### Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Drone delivery is a thing now. But how feasible is having it everywhere, and would we even want it? (2022, October 27) retrieved 4 May 2024 from <a href="https://techxplore.com/news/2022-10-drone-delivery-feasible.html">https://techxplore.com/news/2022-10-drone-delivery-feasible.html</a>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.