

US court limits officials' contacts with social media firms

July 5 2023, by Anuj Chopra



The Louisiana federal court ruling marks a win for US conservative advocates who accuse President Joe Biden's administration of trying to censor or suppress online content under the guise of fighting misinformation.

A US federal court on Tuesday restricted some top officials and

agencies of President Joe Biden's administration from meeting and communicating with social media companies to moderate their content, a ruling that could curtail government efforts to fight online falsehoods.

The injunction was in response to a lawsuit brought by the Republican attorneys general of Louisiana and Missouri, who alleged that [government officials](#) went too far in their efforts to get platforms to combat vaccine and election misinformation.

The ruling by a [federal court](#) in Louisiana marks a win for conservative advocates in the United States who allege that the government has pressured or colluded with social media platforms such as Facebook and Twitter to censor right-leaning content under the guise of fighting misinformation.

The order applies to a slew of top law enforcement agencies such as the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the State Department, Justice Department as well as health agencies including the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

It also applies to several prominent officials such as White House Press Secretary Karine Jean-Pierre and Alejandro Mayorkas, secretary of the Department of Homeland Security.

The decision restricts agencies and officials from meeting with [social media companies](#) or flagging posts for "the purpose of urging, encouraging, pressuring, or inducing in any manner the removal, deletion, suppression, or reduction of content containing protected [free speech](#)" under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

Louisiana Attorney General Jeff Landry hailed the "historic injunction" that he said will prevent the Biden administration from "censoring the core political speech of ordinary Americans" on social media.

In a statement, he accused senior federal officials of seeking to "dictate what Americans can and cannot say on Facebook, Twitter, YouTube, and other platforms about COVID-19, elections, criticism of the government, and more."

'Suppressing speech'

The order could seriously limit top government agencies from notifying the platforms about false or hateful content that can lead to harmful consequences.

But the ruling said that the government could still inform them about posts involving criminal activity, national security threats and foreign attempts to influence elections.

A White House official said the Justice Department was reviewing the court's injunction and will evaluate its options.

"This administration has promoted responsible actions to protect public health, safety, and security when confronted by challenges like a deadly pandemic and foreign attacks on our elections," the official said.

"Our consistent view remains that [social media platforms](#) have a critical responsibility to take account of the effects their platforms are having on the American people, but make independent choices about the information they present."

In addition to communications with social media companies, the ruling also restricted the agencies and officials from "collaborating, coordinating, partnering" with key academic groups including the Election Integrity Partnership, a coalition of research institutions that tackle election-related falsehoods.

Some experts in misinformation and First Amendment law criticized the ruling, saying authorities needed to strike a balance between calling out falsehoods and veering towards censorship or curbing free speech.

"This case raises the difficult but also vitally important question of when the First Amendment restricts the government from trying to persuade, encourage, or 'jawbone' private actors into suppressing speech," said Jameel Jaffer, director of the Knight First Amendment Institute at Columbia University.

"This said, the court's order in this case is certainly too broad. It would insulate the platforms not just from coercion but from criticism as well."

There was no immediate response from the platforms including the Meta-owned Facebook, Twitter and Google.

© 2023 AFP

Citation: US court limits officials' contacts with social media firms (2023, July 5) retrieved 29 April 2024 from <https://techxplore.com/news/2023-07-court-limits-contacts-social-media.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.