

Home-to-airport travel is low-hanging fruit for air transport industry's decarbonization roadmap

August 8 2023, by Rico Merkert and David Li



Credit: AI-generated image (disclaimer)

Our climate is changing, and airlines are under pressure to reduce emissions from air travel. For many of us, especially in Australia, flying is an essential form of transport, so how can we reduce its environmental impact? Getting to and from the airport is an overlooked aspect of air



travel that offers an immediate way to cut total carbon emissions from the trip by a surprisingly large amount.

Our newly published <u>research</u> shows for an average economy passenger flying from Sydney to Melbourne, the carbon <u>emissions</u> from using a fossil-fueled car to get to and from the airports make up a staggering 13.5% of the total door-to-door emissions. At a global scale, this number reaches 12.1% for long-haul flights and up to 22.8% for short-haul air journeys.

For comparison, in the International Air Transport Association's 2050 <u>net-zero emission roadmap</u>, 13% of global airline decarbonization will come from electric and hydrogen propulsion. A further 65% is to come from mass adoption of sustainable aviation fuel. This is fuel produced from non-petroleum-based <u>renewable sources</u> such as some <u>municipal</u> <u>solid waste</u>, woody biomass, fats, greases and oils.

Each of these big lifters requires a mammoth level of investment and technological breakthroughs, and comes with limitations and risks. Some solutions might make air travel prohibitively expensive. Airlines are moving mountains to decarbonize, but there are increasing concerns their net-zero plans might not stack up.

In contrast, a 13.5% emission reduction on a Sydney-Melbourne door-todoor journey today may sound like a dream. Yet our research shows it's easily achievable if travelers can be persuaded to change how they get to and from the airport.

So how can travelers be convinced to switch?

<u>Research</u> has shown carbon "labeling" helps shift consumer behavior towards greener choices. It's a bit like how the nutrition label on the back of our cereal box helps us choose healthier options.



For instance, when searching for a flight on online travel platform Skyscanner, all flight options are displayed with carbon emissions, so consumers can make a more informed choice.

Two <u>recent studies</u> at the University of California, Davis, suggest showing consumers the emission outcomes of different gate-to-gate flight choices, such as aircraft types and transit stops, can prompt them to choose greener flights, reducing emissions by up to 3.8%.

But air journeys don't start or end at airports. They start at home and end at destinations, or vice versa. Our air-travel <u>carbon emissions</u> are divided into ground and air segments and counted as airport and airline emissions respectively. While airlines focus on gate-to-gate decarbonization through future technologies, the door-to-door emissions produced by travel to and from airports can be reduced immediately.

Let's look at an example of a Sydney-to-Melbourne trip. Say you travel from North Sydney to Sydney Airport by car, then fly to Melbourne Airport and catch a taxi to the city center. That trip emits 82 kilograms of CO_2 door-to-door. But if you use a train, bus or electric vehicle (charged from a renewable source) to travel to and from the airport, the emissions from your trip drop to 71kg: a 13.5% fall door-to-door.



| 1 hr 35 mi SYD-MEL | n Nonstop | 68 kg CO₂ Avg emissions ① | A\$131 | ~ |
|-------------------------------|-----------|---|--------|---|
| 1 hr 35 mi SYD-MEL | n Nonstop | 68 kg CO₂ Avg emissions ⊕ | A\$149 | ~ |
| 1 hr 35 mi SYD-MEL | n Nonstop | 68 kg CO₂ Avg emissions ⊙ | A\$131 | ~ |
| 1 hr 40 m i SYD-MEL | n Nonstop | 63 kg CO ₂ -7% emissions ① | A\$86 | ~ |
| 1 hr 35 mi SYD-MEL | n Nonstop | 80 kg CO₂ +18% emissions ① | A\$149 | ~ |
| 1 hr 40 m SYD-MEL | n Nonstop | 70 kg CO₂ Avg emissions ① | A\$86 | ~ |
| 1 hr 35 mi SYD-MEL | n Nonstop | 70 kg CO₂ Avg emissions ① | A\$124 | ~ |

Travel aggregator search platforms are now labelling carbon emissions when offering flight options. Credit: Google Flights

Although travel platforms are increasingly communicating gate-to-gate emissions to consumers, we're not aware of any that are including doorto-door emissions. Helping climate-conscious consumers understand the door-to-door carbon impact of airport ground connections could drive them to choose greener options such as public transport and electric vehicles.

Give airlines an incentive to inform passengers



Governments and airports have long collaborated in driving consumers towards greener ground transit options. For instance, Transport for NSW has set a 50% emission-reduction <u>target</u> for 2030.

However, consumer adoption of these options has remained low. <u>Most</u> <u>airport trips</u> in Australia today are still made by conventional car.

As consumers, we have relationships with airlines that we don't have with airports. When it comes to flying, we choose our airline carefully. Yet we rarely think about how we get to the airport.

Airlines are experts in customer communication and engagement. They operate some of the largest frequent-flyer programs in the world. Last time we checked, Qantas had <u>15 million</u> program members and Virgin had <u>11 million</u>.

Through these channels, airlines learn about us and how we tick when it comes to making flying choices. This puts them in an ideal position to keep us informed about door-to-door <u>travel</u> and drive the transition towards greener airport ground-connection options. To give them an incentive to do so, their efforts should be recognized through emission accounting.

A cost-effective way to cut emissions

Travelers using the Sydney Airport train station must pay an <u>access fee</u>, which adds <u>A\$15.74</u> to the cost of the journey. As our <u>paper</u> highlights, the average customer using the airport train removes 2.7kg of CO₂. Achieving the same amount of decarbonization during the flight using more sustainable aviation fuel—which is more expensive—would cost the customer about the same: between \$10 and \$16.



So there is an opportunity for airlines to highlight this decarbonization outcome as a way to persuade travelers to reconsider driving a car or catching a taxi, and instead take the airport train or bus. Airlines could also consider collaborating with airports to build <u>airport</u> charging facilities for <u>electric vehicles</u> as uptake in Australia approaches a <u>double-digit share</u> of new vehicle sales.

This overlooked opportunity to cut door-to-door emissions from <u>air</u> <u>travel</u> has a substantial upside. It deserves far more attention from <u>airlines</u>, airports and consumers.

More information: David Changxiong Li et al, "Door-to-door" carbon emission calculation for airlines—Its decarbonization potential and impact, *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment* (2023). <u>DOI: 10.1016/j.trd.2023.103849</u>

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Home-to-airport travel is low-hanging fruit for air transport industry's decarbonization roadmap (2023, August 8) retrieved 8 May 2024 from <u>https://techxplore.com/news/2023-08-home-to-airport-low-hanging-fruit-air-industry.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.