


A study of connected vehicle technology

October 30 2023



(a)



(b)



(c)

The antenna (a), Human Machine Interface (b) and Vehicle Station (c) installed in participant vehicles in the ICVP (Image source: Queensland Government).

Credit: *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour* (2023). DOI: 10.1016/j.trf.2023.07.004

The largest on-road trial of connected vehicle technology in Australia has found participants who used the technology for nine months approved of it in general and contributed significant feedback on further

enhancement to the technology.

Part of the broader Ipswich Connected Vehicle Pilot (ICVP) the research study was conducted by QUT [road](#) safety experts with 355 participants driving their own vehicles, retrofitted with connected [vehicle](#) technology, in and around Ipswich, Queensland.

The vehicle retrofit included a dashboard-mounted display, an external antenna, and a co-operative intelligent transportation systems (C-ITS) vehicle station, which connected participants' cars to external infrastructure such as 29 connected signalized intersections, to cloud systems and to other vehicles fitted with the same connected vehicle system.

First author Dr. David Rodwell, from QUT CARRS-Q (Center for Accident Research and Road Safety—Queensland), said the study aimed to understand the user experience and acceptance of C-ITS as an aid to safer road use.

The study, "In their own words: A qualitative study of users' acceptance of connected [vehicle technology](#) after nine months of experience with the technology," was [published](#) in *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*.

Rodwell said that through the dashboard displays participants were presented with advanced red-light warnings, upcoming traffic queue advice, current speed limit information, road hazard warnings, road works [warning](#), and an alert that vulnerable road users—pedestrian or cyclists—were crossing at an upcoming signalized intersection.

"Participants were generally positive about the system, could appreciate the safety benefits it offered, and were interested in adopting the technology when future systems were refined, such as having the system

seamlessly integrated in their vehicle," Rodwell said.

"User acceptance is a key determinant of the future effectiveness of C-ITS as it affects uptake of these vehicles and the optimal functioning of such systems depends on widespread public uptake.

"Communication between connected vehicles and infrastructure has the potential to increase road safety, reduce congestion and pollution, and improve the management, maintenance, monitoring and control and safety of transport networks."

Rodwell said two qualitative studies comprising 53 individual participant interviews and 14 focus groups with 47 participants revealed key findings, including:

- The in-vehicle speed feature was the most discussed and most well-liked as a trusted source of the speed limit in inadequately signed areas.
- Both the advanced red-light warning and the turning warning for a vulnerable road user were typically neutral or negative due to inaccuracy, too early or late timing, or false alarms.
- Mixed reactions to the other warnings—the road works warning was deemed conceptually helpful but lacked accuracy; few participants experienced back of queue and road hazard warnings but reported wanting this type of warning.

"Overall, many participants described C-ITS as a beneficial addition to their vehicle and almost all expressed positive expectations about future improved systems," Rodwell said.

Their feedback included ideas for improvements:

- Receive personal driving statistics—such feedback could help

them to learn about and correct poor driving habits or driving errors.

- Data privacy and security—most participants were willing for their data to be shared when ethical and legal protections are in place, its purpose was clearly communicated and was anonymous and aggregated. They also suggested drivers have control of the extent and type of data shared under different contexts and third parties. Others said they would consent for data to be shared for [road safety](#) research and technology development.
- Unacceptable contexts for sharing data included sales and marketing, hacking and data misuse and punitive enforcement.

"These findings give important insights into future C-ITS implementation as [user experience](#) and perception will be key to ensuring wide-spread adoption of this [technology](#)," said Rodwell.

More information: David Rodwell et al, In their own words: A qualitative study of users' acceptance of connected vehicle technology after nine months of experience with the technology, *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour* (2023). [DOI: 10.1016/j.trf.2023.07.004](#)

Provided by Queensland University of Technology

Citation: A study of connected vehicle technology (2023, October 30) retrieved 27 April 2024 from <https://techxplore.com/news/2023-10-vehicle-technology.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.