


## Researchers figure out optimal stiffnesstoughness trade-off

## February 6 2024



Schematic of the approach. Credit: *Science Advances* (2024). DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.adk4284

Using 3D printing, researchers at the U of A and Massachusetts Institute of Technology have developed a novel approach for achieving an optimal combination of stiffness and toughness in microstructured composites.

The study was published in <u>Science Advances</u>.

By integrating <u>physical experiments</u>, <u>numerical simulations</u> and machine learning to address both challenges, their method provides a blueprint for



computational design in research areas beyond solid mechanics, such as polymer chemistry, fluid dynamics, meteorology and robotics.

"Stiffness and toughness are often mutually exclusive," said Wan Shou, assistant professor of mechanical engineering at the U of A and corresponding author of the paper. "To be stiff, a material must be strong to resist deformation. Meanwhile, to be tough, it must be ductile enough—that is, able to deform without losing stiffness—to tolerate long cracks and absorb more energy before fracturing."

An optimal combination of stiffness and toughness is a kind of holy grail in the design of engineering materials. In response to applied force, materials must have the ability to resist deformation (stiffness) while also not rapidly cracking (toughness). In other words, bend, don't break.

This performance is especially important in macro-materials such as steel for buildings and bridges. However, systematic discovery of microstructured composites with optimal stiffness-toughness trade-offs has been hindered by discrepancies between simulation and reality.

Recent advances in additive manufacturing and <u>computational methods</u> have enabled more efficient exploration leading to design with an optimal combination of these qualities. Until now, a systematic discovery of microstructured composites with optimal stiffness-toughness trade-offs has not been demonstrated.

The researchers used three evaluators:

- A mechanical tester that conducts physical measurements,
- A finite element method-based simulator that performs virtual mechanical testing in moderate complexity and
- A convolutional neural network-based predictor that executes machine learning inference.



The mechanical tester runs slowly due to labor-intensive specimen fabrication and testing, but this provides accurate ground truth performance values for a microstructure design. At the opposite end of the spectrum, the predictor runs extremely fast but yields relatively inaccurate results. Between these, the simulator runs reasonably fast, given its moderate complexity, and delivers intermediate accuracy.

In this work, both accuracy and speed are considered. More importantly, the researchers' framework sets a new paradigm to navigate the discrepancies often found between theoretical models and practical results.

**More information:** Beichen Li et al, Computational discovery of microstructured composites with optimal stiffness-toughness trade-offs, *Science Advances* (2024). <u>DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.adk4284</u>

## Provided by University of Arkansas

Citation: Researchers figure out optimal stiffness-toughness trade-off (2024, February 6) retrieved 28 April 2024 from <a href="https://techxplore.com/news/2024-02-figure-optimal-stiffness-toughness.html">https://techxplore.com/news/2024-02-figure-optimal-stiffness-toughness.html</a>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.