

AI's excessive water consumption threatens to drown out its environmental contributions

March 22 2024, by Joyeeta Gupta, Hilmer Bosch and Luc van Vliet



Credit: Pixabay/CC0 Public Domain

Water is needed for development, production and consumption, yet we are overusing and polluting an unsubstitutable resource and system.

Eight safe and just boundaries for five domains (climate, biosphere, water, nutrients and aerosols) have been identified beyond which there is significant harm to humans and nature and the risk of crossing tipping points increases. Humans have already crossed the [safe and just Earth System Boundaries for water](#).

To date, seven of the eight boundaries have been crossed, and although the aerosol boundary has not been crossed at the global level, it has been crossed at city level in many parts of the world.

For water, the safe and just boundaries specify that surface water flows should not fluctuate more than 20 percent relative to the natural flow on a monthly basis; while groundwater withdrawal should not be more than the [recharge rate](#). Both of these boundaries have been crossed.

These thresholds have been crossed even though the minimum needs of the world's poorest to access water and sanitation services [have not been met](#). Addressing these needs will put an even greater pressure on [already-strained water systems](#).

AI's potential

Technological optimists argue that artificial intelligence (AI) holds the potential to solve the world's water problems. Supporters of AI argue that it can help achieve both the environmental and social [Sustainable Development Goals](#) (SDGs), for example by designing systems to address shortages of teachers and doctors, increase crop yields and manage our energy needs.

In the past decade, research into this area has grown exponentially, with potential applications including increasing [water efficiency and monitoring in agriculture](#), [water security](#) and [enhancing wastewater treatment](#).

AI-powered biosensors can more accurately [detect toxic chemicals in drinking water](#) than current quality monitoring practices.

The potential for AI to change the water used in [agriculture](#) is evident through the building of smart machines, robots and sensors that optimize farming systems.

For example, [smart irrigation](#) automates irrigation through the collection and analysis of data to optimize water usage by [improving efficiency](#) and [detecting leakage](#).

As international development scholars who study the relationship between water, the environment and global inequality, we are curious about whether AI can actually make a difference or whether it exacerbates existing challenges. Although there is peer-reviewed literature on the use of AI for managing water and the SDGs, there are no peer-reviewed papers on the direct and indirect implications of AI on [water use](#).

AI and water use

Initial research shows that AI has a significant water footprint. It uses water both for [cooling the servers](#) that power its computations and for producing the energy it consumes. As AI becomes more integrated into our societies, its water footprint will inevitably grow.

The growth of ChatGPT and similar AI models has been hailed as "[the new Google](#)." But while a single Google search requires [half a milliliter of water in energy](#), ChatGPT consumes [500 milliliters of water for every five to 50 prompts](#).

AI [uses](#) and [pollutes](#) water through related hardware production. Producing the AI hardware involves resource-intensive mining for rare

materials such as silicon, germanium, gallium, boron and phosphorous. Extracting these minerals has a [significant impact on the environment and contributes to water pollution](#).

Semiconductors and microchips require large volumes of water in the [manufacturing stage](#). Other hardware, such as for various [sensors](#), also have an associated water footprint.

Data centers provide the physical infrastructure for training and running AI, and their energy consumption [could double by 2026](#). Technology firms using water to run and cool these data centers potentially require water withdrawals of 4.2 to 6.6 billion cubic meters by 2027.

By comparison, [Google's data centers](#) used over 21 billion liters of potable water in 2022, an increase of 20 percent on its 2021 usage.

Training an AI at the computing level of a human brain for one year can cost [126,000 liters of water](#). Each year the [computing power](#) needed to train AI [increases tenfold](#), requiring more resources.

Water use of big tech companies' data centers is grossly underestimated—for example, the [water consumption at Microsoft's Dutch data center was four times their initial plans](#). Demand for water for cooling will only [increase](#) because of rising average temperatures due to climate change.

Conflicting needs

The technology sector's water demand is so high that communities are protesting against it as it threatens their livelihoods. Google's data center in drought-prone The Dalles, Ore. is sparking concern as it uses a [quarter of the city's water](#).

Taiwan, responsible for 90 percent of the world's [advanced semiconductor chip production](#), has resorted to cloud seeding, water desalination, interbasin water transfers and halting irrigation for 180,000 hectares [to address its water needs](#).

Locating data centers

As water becomes increasingly expensive and scarce in relation to demand, companies are now strategically placing their data centers in the [developing world](#)—even in dry sub-Saharan Africa, [data center investments are increasing](#).

Google's planned data center in Uruguay, which recently suffered its [worst drought in 74 years](#), would require 7.6 million liters per day, [sparking widespread protest](#).

What emerges is a familiar picture of geographic inequality, as developing countries find themselves caught in a dilemma between the [economic benefits](#) offered by international investment and the strain this places on local water resources availability.

We believe there is sufficient evidence for concern that the rapid uptake of AI risks exacerbating the water crises rather than help addressing them. As yet, there are no systematic studies on the AI industry and its water consumption. Technology companies have been tightlipped about the water footprint of their new products.

The broader question is: Will the social and environmental contributions of AI be overshadowed by its huge water footprint?

This article is republished from [The Conversation](#) under a Creative

Commons license. Read the [original article](#).

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: AI's excessive water consumption threatens to drown out its environmental contributions (2024, March 22) retrieved 28 April 2024 from <https://techxplore.com/news/2024-03-ai-excessive-consumption-threatens-environmental.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.