

South Africa's electricity crisis: A series of failures over 30 years have left a dim legacy

April 19 2024, by Mark Swilling



Credit: Pixabay/CC0 Public Domain

In 1994, apartheid ended and the African National Congress (ANC) won South Africa's first ever democratic elections, promising "Electricity for



All" as part of its Reconstruction and Development Program.

Back then only 36% of all South Africans had electricity in their homes. The development program promised to double that number by electrifying an additional 2.5 million homes by 2000.

This seemed achievable—during the 1980s, the state-owned power utility Eskom's build program was so aggressive it had surplus electricity. Some power stations <u>even had to be mothballed</u>. By 1994, South Africa's coal industry was generating high quality coal which was exported mainly to Europe. These earnings cross-subsidized low quality, inexpensive coal provided by mines built next door to the coal-fired power stations, which was delivered affordably by conveyor belt. These factors made electricity very cheap.

Thirty years later, Eskom is the biggest challenge facing the country. It has a <u>huge debt of over R400 billion</u> (over US\$21 billion) despite receiving over R270 billion (US\$14 billion) in government bailouts since 2008. Besides this, anyone who is 17 or younger has never experienced what it means to live without regular scheduled power cuts, known locally as loadshedding. These are a permanent feature of daily life and are causing an economic crisis.

I have <u>researched transitions for 30 years</u>. If the South African government does not want to repeat the mistakes of the past, it must urgently end the current crisis by shifting decisively towards a <u>renewable</u> <u>energy</u> economy based on South Africa's extraordinary wind and solar resources.

What went wrong



Just two years after apartheid ended, the ANC government discarded the Reconstruction and Development Program in favor of a new macroeconomic policy: the <u>Growth</u>, <u>Employment and Redistribution</u> (<u>Gear</u>) policy, which was based on a set of <u>neoliberal</u> assumptions about a limited role for the state. Two years after that, a new <u>White Paper on</u> <u>Energy</u> predicted that South Africa would run out of energy by 2008 if new power stations were not built.

Eskom tried to convince the government to allow it to build more power stations. But under the macroeconomic policy, the government decided that new power stations must be built by <u>Black empowered businesses</u>. For that to work, the prices of electricity needed to increase to make it financially viable for the businesses.

Exports were dependent on cheap electricity, however, so new power stations were never built. The White Paper was accurate in predicting when the country would run out of power. Scheduled power cuts began in 2007 and have continued ever since. The then president, Thabo Mbeki, <u>apologized in 2007</u> for ignoring the need for new power stations.

In 2008, <u>Mbeki was ousted</u> and Jacob Zuma came to power. This heralded the beginning of the state capture period. In 2010, the government took out its <u>first big loan—of US\$3.75 billion</u>—from the World Bank to build two new coal-fired power stations, Medupi and Kusile. A shadow state was built, setting its sights on corruptly benefiting from the build. Zuma also concluded a deal with Russian president Vladimir Putin to build a fleet of nuclear power stations. Fortunately, when <u>environmental activists took this to court</u>, the deal was ruled illegal.

At the same time, an Independent Power Producers Procurement Office was set up in 2010 to procure renewable energy as part of the wider <u>Renewable Energy Independent Power Producers Procurement Program</u>.



It rapidly expanded into a large number of renewable energy projects with a capacity of 6.2 gigawatts. Put into perspective, South Africa's total coal-generated electricity capacity today is 48 gigawatts.

In 2015, after this program opened its <u>fourth round of bids and selected</u> <u>13 new renewable energy providers</u>, Eskom's two chief executive officers, Brian Molefe and Matshela Koko, <u>refused to sign the power</u> <u>purchase agreements</u>. This was the apex of the government's failure to address the energy crisis. The factories that had opened up to manufacture windmills and solar panels <u>started to close down</u>.

This was a fatal error. If the Eskom CEOs had signed the power purchase agreements, it would have <u>brought online 5GW of renewables</u>. That would have eliminated 95% of the loadshedding that South Africa has today.

The current dilemma

After becoming president in 2018, Cyril Ramaphosa merged the mineral resources and energy portfolios and the new minister, Gwede Mantashe, released an <u>Integrated Resource Plan in 2019</u>. This provided for a very large increase in the number of renewables and the closure of several coal-fired power stations. But Mantashe later delayed the procurement of renewables, deepening the crisis at Eskom.

Pravin Gordhan, the minister of public enterprises and main shareholder representative for Eskom, said the solution was the unbundling of Eskom, provided for in the Eskom Roadmap released in 2019. But unbundling took a long time. Dividing the utility up was approved in 2019 but the National Transmission Company was only set up in 2024.

By 2020, then Eskom chief executive Andre de Ruyter realized that unless large quantities of generating capacity were brought online very



quickly there would be permanent scheduled power cuts by 2025. (These became part of daily life sooner—by 2023.)

Coal and nuclear plants could not be built quickly enough to end the permanent power cuts but it takes about two years to build a wind or solar farm, De Ruyter argued. He later <u>resigned from Eskom</u> after Mantashe accused him of treason for allowing power cuts to happen.

The power cuts continued, and by 2023 had <u>reached their worst level</u>, with outages occurring nearly every day, for up to 11 hours a day. Ramaphosa appointed an electricity minister, Kgosientsho Ramokgopa, and released the <u>Energy Action Plan</u> to end power cuts.

This is starting to pay off. Performance of the coal-fired power stations is improving, and <u>30GW of renewables</u> (almost half the existing installed capacity) are in the pipeline. It cost home and business owners <u>R65</u> <u>billion</u> (around US\$3.25 billion) to buy rooftop solar systems that generate 4.4GW of electricity. Today, this has increased to 5.4GW of renewable energy, which I calculate has cost R75 billion to R80 billion (around US\$4 billion). These actions have started to alleviate power cuts.

Solutions

The government is again split over how to move forward. The country's latest national electricity plan (the <u>2023 Integrated Resource Plan</u>) reverses the 2019 commitments to renewable energy and coal closure and proposes to keep the coal-fired <u>power stations</u> open (which will become increasingly costly and dysfunctional). It dramatically increases the emphasis on gas—it is a gas infrastructure plan and South Africa doesn't have much gas. The country will have to import gas and pay in US dollars, thus increasing its dependence on the dollar.

South Africa has some of the best wind and solar resources in the world.



There is no need to force a shift from coal to gas. Instead, South Africa needs to transition to renewable energy plus backup, which is batteries and a substantial gas reserve.

The latest national electricity plan is financially unviable and not aligned to climate commitments. The plan should be withdrawn and replaced with a realistic plan based on <u>open-source</u> modeling. Such a plan should not emerge from behind closed doors at the Department of Mineral Resources and Energy.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: South Africa's electricity crisis: A series of failures over 30 years have left a dim legacy (2024, April 19) retrieved 17 May 2024 from <u>https://techxplore.com/news/2024-04-south-africa-electricity-crisis-series.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.