


Delivering hydrogen to EU's industry: Which are the greenest options?

June 3 2024



Credit: Pixabay/CC0 Public Domain

Renewable hydrogen is expected to play a crucial role in reducing carbon emissions in Europe. Previous Joint Research Centre (JRC) research revealed that sourcing it from regions with cheaper renewable energy

can prove to be more cost-effective than local production.

However, environmental concerns arise from transporting large quantities of hydrogen over long distances, as the environmental impact varies significantly according to the production technology and the method of [delivery](#).

To address these concerns, a [new study](#) compares the life cycle environmental impacts of on-site production through steam methane reforming (SMR) or electrolysis with three different delivery methods, including compression, liquefaction, and chemical bonding to other molecules. Transportation by both ship and pipeline was considered.

The distance used to compare the different methods of delivery is 2,500 km, compatible with the extent of EU territory and equivalent to the distance between Portugal and the Netherlands. The two countries were considered based on a proposal in a project that examined the feasibility of sustainable hydrogen transportation.

The results show that the environmental performance of hydrogen supplied to large industries can vary significantly based on the [production technology](#) and delivery pathway.

The study was carried out by the JRC for the Clean Hydrogen Partnership, a public-private partnership supporting research and innovation (R&I) activities in hydrogen technologies in Europe. The findings result in key recommendations for policymakers and stakeholders to help countries and industries to accelerate the transition towards a more sustainable hydrogen economy.

On-site production versus long-distance delivery

The most environmentally sustainable approach is on-site production

using efficient renewable sources, such as wind power in the Netherlands. If on-site production is not viable using local abundant renewable sources, importing renewable hydrogen can still lead to a significant reduction in [greenhouse gas](#) (GHG) emissions compared to on-site production with fossil fuels. However, focusing solely on GHG emissions may lead to other, unintended environmental impacts.

Shipping [liquid hydrogen](#) and transporting compressed hydrogen through pipelines appear to have the least environmental impact when delivering hydrogen over long distances.

Meanwhile, the process of packing and unpacking hydrogen into chemical carriers such as ammonia, liquid organic compounds, methanol, and synthetic natural gas demands larger amounts of energy and resources. It makes these options less desirable to minimize environmental impact. But no significant difference was noticed in comparative environmental impact of delivery methods when comparing chemical carriers one with another.

Role of renewable energy infrastructure

The report emphasizes the close relationship between the environmental impact of delivered hydrogen and renewable energy infrastructure.

For imported solar-generated hydrogen to have an environmental advantage over conventional hydrogen production from fossil fuels, the environmental impact of generating electricity through photovoltaic panels must be significantly reduced.

This can be achieved by improving the efficiency of photovoltaic panels in terms of materials use and utilizing renewable energy for their production.

Impact of water use

Water use is another crucial factor to consider. The availability of freshwater affects the impact of hydrogen production. On-site hydrogen generation in water-rich countries proves to be a more sustainable option in terms of water use compared to importing hydrogen from water-scarce nations.

Hydrogen loss

Hydrogen losses during the delivery chain can significantly increase the environmental impact of delivered hydrogen. However, options that are more susceptible to losses, such as liquid and compressed hydrogen, still have lower environmental impacts than using hydrogen carriers.

When on-site production of hydrogen using local renewable sources is not feasible, importing [renewable hydrogen](#) from closer regions becomes the more environmentally sustainable choice. When transporting hydrogen over long distances within Europe, delivering compressed hydrogen through pipelines or liquid hydrogen via ships stands out as the preferred option in terms of environmental impact.

More information: Environmental life cycle assessment (LCA) comparison of hydrogen delivery options within Europe.
[publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu/ ... ory/handle/JRC137953](https://publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu/...ory/handle/JRC137953)

Provided by European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC)

Citation: Delivering hydrogen to EU's industry: Which are the greenest options? (2024, June 3) retrieved 29 June 2024 from <https://techxplore.com/news/2024-06-hydrogen-eu-industry-greenest-options.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.