

Why electric beats hydrogen in the race to decarbonize freight vehicles in Australia

July 5 2024, by Hussein Dia, Dorsa Alipour and Hadi Ghaderi



Credit: Unsplash/CC0 Public Domain

Transport is Australia's [third-largest](#) and [fastest-growing](#) source of emissions, accounting for 23% of the total. Without intervention, transport is [expected](#) to be the leading source of emissions by 2030.

Transport emissions [increased by 3.6%](#) from 2022 to 2023. Emissions from on-road diesel, which dominates the freight sector, were up by 3.7%.

Diesel vehicle numbers (passenger, light commercial, freight and buses) in Australia have [grown by 84%](#) since 2014, compared to 5% for petrol vehicles. Passenger cars account for 44% of all [transport emissions](#) and freight trucks 23%.

One of the quickest ways to cut these emissions is to [electrify vehicles](#). It's [relatively easy](#) to do for cars. Trucks are a [harder challenge](#).

To find out how best to decarbonize trucks in Australia, our [research](#) evaluated the [lifecycle emissions](#) from [low-emission](#) trucks. We focused on [electric](#) and [hydrogen](#) trucks. We also compared their performance to diesel trucks [across](#) five types of [rigid](#) and three types of [articulated](#) trucks.

[Our results](#) show [electric trucks](#) are the better, faster option to decarbonize road freight by the [legislated](#) target dates for [emission cuts](#). In some cases, hydrogen trucks had two to three times the [emissions intensity](#) (the amount of greenhouse gases emitted per kilometer traveled) of electric trucks.

Why is a lifecycle analysis needed?

In the race to rapidly decarbonize road freight, it's important to identify the most efficient and cost-effective technology.

Electric and hydrogen trucks both have zero tailpipe emissions. However, we must consider their full lifecycle to assess overall carbon footprints. The production, use and recycling phases of the two kinds of trucks produce different emissions.

[Electric trucks](#) use batteries that are charged directly from a power source. The cleaner the electricity source, the lower the emissions.

[Hydrogen trucks](#) also have [batteries](#), though smaller than in electric trucks, but rely mainly on fuel cells powered by hydrogen to produce electricity that drives the wheels.

Currently, [around 96%](#) of the world's hydrogen comes from [coal or natural gas](#). This results in large emissions.

Hydrogen can be produced using renewables to power a process of [electrolysis](#) that extracts it from water. But this involves many steps, each with energy penalties and losses.

Hydrogen storage tanks and delivery equipment are also needed. These are [complex, costly](#) and energy is lost at each step in the supply chain. On average, only 38% of the source energy remains to drive the wheels of a hydrogen truck, compared to around 80% for battery electric trucks.

What did the study look at?

We analyzed lifecycle emissions for freight trucks in eight different scenarios of renewable energy mixes and adoption rates.

First, the [lifecycle analysis](#) considers emissions from fuel and electricity production using primary energy sources ([fossil fuels](#) and renewables).

It also takes into account emissions from making trucks. This phase includes extracting raw materials, processing, production and truck assembly.

In the operations phase, we consider emissions from driving, maintenance and servicing.

Finally, our analysis evaluates end-of-life emissions from repurposing components, recycling materials and disposal.

What did we find?

We applied the widely used [GREET](#) lifecycle analysis model, [adapted](#) to Australian conditions.

We first modeled a baseline scenario. It reflected Australia's 2019 energy mix, truck fleet composition and validated travel distances for each truck type.

We then modeled eight scenarios with different energy mixes of fossil fuels and renewables. (Click [here](#) for full details.)

The scenarios also included different mixes of diesel, electric and hydrogen trucks. We modeled [truck adoption rates](#) and the impacts on emissions.

As expected, [scenarios](#) that combined high rates of renewable energy and adoption would lead to lower emissions than other scenarios.

Under a fully renewable scenario with 50% electric and 30% hydrogen trucks, freight emissions would fall by 76%, from 24.68 million tons (Mt) to 5.89 Mt.

In all scenarios with fossil fuels in the energy mix, hydrogen trucks had a higher lifecycle emissions intensity than electric trucks. In some cases, hydrogen trucks produced roughly three times the emissions of electric trucks.

Our findings highlight the challenge of cutting emissions from manufacturing, maintenance and disposal. On average, they account for

90 grams per kilometer for electric trucks and 40g/km for hydrogen trucks.

If we don't cut these emissions, they end up accounting for a big share of lifecycle emissions. For example, in the 2033 energy mix scenario they would account for 79% of emissions for electric trucks and 39% for hydrogen trucks.

Emissions from making and disposing of batteries will likely fall as [their design](#) evolves to aid [recycling](#).

Is the industry ready for the transition?

We also conducted an online [survey](#) involving 40 small, 60 medium and 30 large trucking organizations.

Around 47% of participants rated their knowledge of electric and [hydrogen](#) trucks as basic, 42% as intermediate and 11% as advanced.

About 62% of operators said they had a formal decarbonization strategy. Those with larger fleet sizes and/or involved in long-haul trucking were more committed to decarbonization.

Only seven out of 130 participants were ready to absorb the higher purchase cost of low-emissions trucks. Most thought customers would not be willing to pay more for green freight services. They viewed high upfront purchase costs, total ownership costs and a lack of supporting infrastructure as barriers to adoption.

The road ahead

To overcome these barriers and speed up the shift to low-emissions

trucks, a mix of [industry](#) interventions and [policies](#) is needed.

Global [investment](#) in truck manufacturing will make more suitable models and a variety of sizes [available](#) and [more affordable](#). Tighter emission [standards](#), government and industry investment in [infrastructure](#) such as [ultra-rapid charging stations](#) and [incentives](#) such as subsidies will also help.

Another barrier is uncertainty about performance and costs. Independent [trials](#), [field testing](#) and [knowledge sharing](#) will reduce this uncertainty and help operators and policymakers with their decisions.

Finally, our findings show fleet decarbonization on its own is not an entirely effective strategy to cut emissions. It needs to be part of a holistic approach to cut emissions across the transport sector. This includes [managing demand](#) through measures such as [heavy vehicle pricing](#) and taxation, shifting road freight to [rail](#) and [optimizing](#) how we distribute freight.

Without these measures, Australia's dependence on fossil fuels will deepen. Reaching our emission targets will become even harder.

This article is republished from [The Conversation](#) under a Creative Commons license. Read the [original article](#).

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Why electric beats hydrogen in the race to decarbonize freight vehicles in Australia (2024, July 5) retrieved 5 July 2024 from <https://techxplore.com/news/2024-07-electric->

[hydrogen-decarbonize-freight-vehicles.html](https://www.techxplore.com/hydrogen-decarbonize-freight-vehicles.html)

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.