

Why Melbourne's e-scooter ban is a wrong turn away from safe, sustainable transport

August 17 2024, by Mark Stevenson and Ferdinand Balfourt



Credit: Unsplash/CC0 Public Domain

Melbourne City Council voted to [break its contracts](#) with operators of shared e-scooter schemes this week, citing safety concerns. It seems these concerns have usurped the long-term transport and environmental

gains from moving towards sustainable transport. A year ago, the city [reported](#) emissions had been cut by 400 metric tons since trials of these e-scooters began.

Shared e-scooters only became available to Melburnians [in early 2022](#). But [electric scooters](#) have existed for more than a century. They were very popular on public streets in the United States after motorized scooters first [appeared in 1915](#).

However, their [use for criminal getaways](#) soon marred their reputation. The opportunity for a lower-emission, more equitable form of transport was lost, until now. It could be lost again because of knee-jerk reactions to concerns about their safety.

In fact, shared e-scooters have safety features that individually owned ones often lack. Shared e-scooters cause fewer serious injuries than bicycles or motorcycles, according to [New Zealand accident compensation data](#). In Australia, while there has been a [rise in numbers](#) treated in hospitals for e-[scooter](#) injuries, no distinction is made between shared and private e-scooters.

Private e-scooters greatly outnumber shared ones

Today, an estimated [15,000 shared e-scooters](#) are in use across Australia and New Zealand. No official figures are available for private e-scooters, but there are likely to be many more of them.

Segway, a globally dominant maker of e-scooters, [reported](#) it had sold 8.5 million private versus 1.5 million shared e-scooters by 2022. In the United Kingdom, an estimated [360,000 private e-scooters](#) were bought in 2020. [New Zealand Statistics](#) reports roughly 400,000 e-scooters were imported from 2018 to 2023.

One can assume, then, that private e-scooters similarly outnumber shared e-scooters in Australia. And the [distinction between rental and private e-scooters](#) is an important one in the debate about safety.

Media reports on shared e-scooters in Melbourne have concentrated on two key subjects: launching trials and safety. Recent coverage refers to significant incidents and injuries. This creates a perception that e-scooters are much less safe than other transport modes.

Regulated shared e-scooters are safer

The first thing to note is these reports don't distinguish between shared and private e-scooters. This matters because the shared e-scooter market is highly regulated in Australia.

Their operators are required to:

- provide helmets for riders
- apply speed limiters so they don't exceed safe speeds
- geo-fence e-scooters to limit where they can travel
- use pedestrian-detection technology.

In contrast, private e-scooters are not registered. They have different quality specifications and can have larger motors, often exceeding regulated engine outputs that vary from state to state. Importantly, private e-scooters lack the advanced technologies used on shared e-scooters to monitor rider use.

There is little to no regulation or [quality control](#) over the private e-scooters Australians can buy. Some models seen on the streets can exceed the legal speed limit. All that's stopping them speeding is rider responsibility and police oversight.

Hospital records of e-scooter injuries do not distinguish between private or shared e-scooter riders. That's also true of injury reporting and statistics, due to the way authorities collect crash statistics.

Yet [reported injury statistics](#) for New Zealand indicate that the rate of serious injury while using a shared e-scooter is lower than for other modes of transport. Far more people suffered soft tissue injuries from rollerskating and skateboarding (5,344) than from riding e-scooters (1,119), for instance. Nine times as many bike riders incurred a head injury or concussion (681) compared to e-scooter riders (76).

Better infrastructure is also vital for e-scooter safety. A 2020 International Transport Federation (ITF) [report](#) found 80% of e-scooter crashes occurred at intersections, and 70% during the day.

The findings are not surprising when scant attention has been paid to delivering safe e-scooter infrastructure. In Melbourne, for example, some lanes available for e-scooter riding [end abruptly](#).

E-scooters cut emissions and congestion

The most important issue arising from the City of Melbourne's ban is the role e-scooters (and e-bikes) can play in shrinking cities' huge carbon footprints. In addition, e-scooters can:

- reduce traffic congestion
- improve access to public transport
- provide more efficient transport for shorter trips
- remove the need for car parking
- improve air quality.

The City of Melbourne pointed solely at the safety concern to justify its ban. The city instead needs more proactive policies to integrate shared

e-scooters into its mobility mix. This would have delivered all the public good of this transport mode.

Governance is a neglected issue

Much of the research on e-scooters in cities focuses on sustainability and safety. Governance (policies, rules and regulations) is largely overlooked.

Operating governance structures are established following a traditional operator licensing pathway. This approach is now being questioned.

What has been lacking is broad engagement with all stakeholders, including the public. The focus should be on balancing the benefits and burdens of shared e-scooters.

E-scooters are a particularly [valuable form of transport](#) for young people and those on low incomes or with a disability. The [social justice](#) they provide has been neglected. Predictably, then, the focus has been on the burdens, including safety.

Another problem is the widely varied approaches around Australia to regulating e-scooters. There isn't even a consistent definition of e-scooters.

It appears governance decisions, which include ending operator licenses, aren't using reliable evidence to avoid knee-jerk reactions.

For widespread sustainable and safe e-scooter use, there needs to be:

- better governance and rider safety education
- more consistent and specific recording of e-scooter incident and injury data

- an appreciation that riders are vulnerable road users who deserve safe infrastructure.

A comprehensive, inclusive assessment of benefits and burdens is needed. We may then establish more clearly the sustainability and equity benefits, manage the [safety](#) concerns and arrive at more consistent policies and definitions across Australia.

This article is republished from [The Conversation](#) under a Creative Commons license. Read the [original article](#).

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Why Melbourne's e-scooter ban is a wrong turn away from safe, sustainable transport (2024, August 17) retrieved 18 August 2024 from <https://techxplore.com/news/2024-08-melbourne-scooter-wrong-safe-sustainable.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.