

# Electric planes are here—but they won't solve flying's CO2 problem

November 6 2019, by Duncan Walker

---



The hybrid E-Fan X. Credit: Airbus

The UK government plans to ban the sale of new conventional petrol and diesel cars [by 2040](#). Clearly the plan is for all citizens to be driving electric or hybrid-electric cars, or—better still—riding bicycles. But can electrification help cut emissions from that other carbon-intensive form of passenger transport, flying?

This is a complex question and one where size matters. It is possible for small aircraft to be powered by electricity. In fact several companies are already developing small electric aircraft and they could come on the market within the next few years.

But for the large aircraft we all use more frequently it is unlikely to happen anytime soon. The problem isn't the propulsion technology but the [energy storage](#). Jet fuel contains around 30 times more energy per kilogram than the most advanced lithium-ion battery currently available.

The world's largest passenger plane, the Airbus A380, can fly 600 passengers 15,000 kilometres in a single flight. But, according to my calculations, with batteries it could only fly a little over 1,000 kilometres. Even if all the passengers and cargo were replaced with batteries, the range would still be less than 2,000 kilometres. To keep its current range, the plane would need batteries weighing 30 times more than its current fuel intake, meaning it would never get off the ground.

This trade-off is particularly bad for long-haul flights because the fuel makes up half of the aircraft's weight at take-off. What's more, a conventional plane gets lighter as the fuel is consumed, but an electric aircraft would have to carry the same battery weight for the entire flight. As I said, size matters.

For a five- to ten-seat light aircraft, fuel is likely to make up 10% to 20% of the aircraft's weight. Simply swapping the fuel for batteries might still reduce the distance the plane can fly by an impractical amount. But replacing two or three passengers with additional batteries would give a range of 500 kilometres to 750 kilometres, compared to a fuel-powered range of over 1,000km.

## **First commercial model**

However, there could be another option. Israeli firm [Eviation](#) recently revealed a prototype version of what it claims will be the world's first commercial all-electric passenger aircraft. The aircraft, named Alice, doesn't just swap jet fuel for batteries but is a whole new design concept that improves the way the propulsion system is integrated into the airframe. Carrying nine passengers with a range of 1,000km, Alice is expected to enter service in 2022.

Alice may be a practical alternative for small, regional journeys but not for most scheduled passenger flights, even short-haul ones. So how can electrification help here? Improving battery technology is one option. A new technology known as lithium-air batteries can theoretically reach the same energy density as [jet fuel](#). However, they are still at the laboratory stage. Given the extremely safety conscious nature of the aviation industry, it is unlikely to plan future aircraft on unproven technology.

What we are more likely to see for short-haul flights in the next 20 to 30 years is hybrid aircraft that combine current turbofan engines with new electric propulsor systems. This more flexible hybrid system could be optimised to provide the high thrust required for take-off and the energy density needed for a long cruise.

This is an area being actively pursued in the [E-FanX](#) project, which involves Airbus, Rolls-Royce and Siemens teaming up to develop a hybrid-electric propulsion flight demonstrator. Using a BAe 146 aircraft, which usually carries around 100 passengers, they plan to replace one of the aircraft's four Honeywell turbofan engines with a propulsor fan driven by a two-megawatt electric motor.

In the project's initial phases, the electricity will actually be supplied by a Rolls-Royce AE2100 gas turbine housed in the aircraft's fuselage (main body). But the E-FanX will still be an important step in the evolution of hybrid electric technology. [Airbus says](#) it wants to make this

technology available for 100-seat aircraft by the 2030s.

It's also possible to equip a plane with multiple small electric propulsors in a so-called distributed propulsion system that is more efficient than traditional designs that use two large turbofans. This idea can be taken further by combining the separate fuselage and wings into a single "[blended-wing-body](#)", more efficiently integrating the propulsors with the airframe in a more aerodynamic design. This could reduce the amount of energy the aircraft would need by 20%.

But neither of the world's two main aircraft manufactures, Boeing and Airbus, are actively pursuing blended wing technology. Such a major design shift has too many technical challenges [to make it commercially viable](#) right now. For example, most airports wouldn't be able to accommodate a blended-wing aircraft.

## **No alternative**

Unfortunately, for the type of flights most of us make there is currently no practical alternative to jet-fuelled turbofans. For this reason, the main aircraft engine manufacturers are investing heavily in improving their current engine technology. The International Air Transport Association [estimates that](#) each new generation of aircraft is on average 20% more fuel-efficient than the model it replaces, and that airlines will invest US\$1.3 trillion in new planes over the next decade.

For example, Rolls-Royce's most recent engine, the [Trent XWB](#) that powers the new [Airbus A350](#), is marketed as "the world's most efficient large aero-engine". Airbus claims the engine will help the A350 to achieve "25% lower operating costs, fuel burn and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions when compared with previous-generation aircraft".

The next generation of Rolls-Royce engine, the [UltraFan™](#), will offer a

further 20% to 25% reduction in [fuel](#) consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and is due to enter into service in 2025.

But it's worth remembering that aviation currently contributes only 2% to 3% of global CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. This compares to about 30% to 35% for the whole transport sector, and another 30% to 35% for electricity generation.

The number of air passengers is [expected to double](#) over the next two decades but so are total emissions so this is unlikely to make aviation a bigger part of the problem. Reducing aviation emissions by 20% per generation of aircraft probably might not a sustainable improvement. But if hybrid [aircraft](#) are made a reality then flying really could become even less of a contributor to total emissions than it is today.

This article is republished from [The Conversation](#) under a Creative Commons license. Read the [original article](#).

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Electric planes are here—but they won't solve flying's CO<sub>2</sub> problem (2019, November 6) retrieved 20 September 2024 from <https://techxplore.com/news/2019-11-electric-planes-herebut-wont-co2.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.