

How fire causes office-building floors to collapse

March 24 2020



Inside a fireproof compartment, NIST researchers subjected full-scale replicas of office building floors to fires produced by three gas-fueled burners. Credit: NIST

Engineers and technicians at the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) spent months meticulously recreating the long

concrete floors supported by steel beams commonly found in high-rise office buildings, only to deliberately set the structures ablaze, destroying them in a fraction of the time it took to build them.

These carefully planned experiments produced cracked concrete slabs and contorted steel beams, but from the rubble arose a wealth of new insights into how real-world structures behave and can eventually fail in uncontrolled building fires. The results of the study, reported in the *Journal of Structural Engineering*, indicate that structures built to code are not always equipped to survive the forces induced by extreme shifts in temperature, but the data gained here could help researchers develop and validate new design tools and building codes that bolster [fire](#) safety.

In the United States, fireproofing materials are sprayed or painted onto weight-bearing beams or columns to slow their temperature rise in case of a fire. These materials, which are typically the only fire-resistance measures integrated into the skeletons of buildings, are required by [building codes](#) to be thick enough to delay structural deterioration for a certain number of hours. The responsibility of putting fires out or preventing them from spreading, however, typically falls on measures outside of the [structural design](#), such as sprinkler systems and local fire departments.

The current approach to fire safety is typically sufficient to protect most buildings from collapse; however, there are rare situations in which fire protection systems and firefighting efforts are not enough. In dire circumstances like these, where fires rage in an uncontrolled fashion, flames can sometimes burn so hot that they overwhelm the defense of the fireproofing and seal the structure's fate.


Just like the red liquid in a thermometer rises on a hot day, components of a building will undergo thermal elongation at elevated temperatures. But whereas the liquid has room to expand, steel beams, like those used

to hold up floors in office buildings, are typically bound at their ends to support columns, which typically stay cool and maintain their shape for longer because of additional fireproofing and the reinforcement of the surrounding structure. With very little wiggle room, beams that heat up during fires could press up against their uncompromising boundaries, potentially breaking their connections and causing floors to collapse.

To better prepare buildings for worst-case scenarios, structural designs may need to account for the forces introduced by fires. But because the behavior of a burning building is complex, structural engineers need help predicting how their designs would hold up in an actual fire. Computer models that simulate building fires could provide invaluable guidance, but for those tools to be effective, a considerable amount of experimental data is needed first.

"The main purpose of this experiment is to develop data from realistic structure and fire conditions that can be used for developing or validating computational programs," said Lisa Choe, NIST structural engineer and lead author of the study. "Then the programs can be expanded to different building configurations and used for design."

Structures are seldom fire-tested at a realistic scale. Standard tests make use of laboratory furnaces that typically only accommodate individual components or small assemblies without the kinds of end connections that are used in buildings. Size is less of an issue for NIST, however. Within the National Fire Research Laboratory (NFRL), engineers can build and safely burn structures as tall as two stories and have a plethora of tools available to inspect the destruction.



The shear tab connection (left) is a single flat plate welded to the support column and bolted onto one side of the steel beam. The double angle connection (right) is composed of two L-shaped plates, or angle legs, welded to the support column and bolted onto both sides of the steel beam. In this study, the double angle-connected beams endured greater deformations and temperatures before failing. Credit: B. Hayes/NIST

Mimicking the design of floors from high-rise office buildings, Choe and her colleagues at the NFRL formed concrete slabs atop steel beams spanning 12.8 meters (42 feet)—a typical length in office buildings and also the longest fire-tested in the United States. The floors were suspended in the air, fastened at their ends to support columns either by double angle or shear tab connections, which are differently shaped but

both commonplace.

To make the test conditions even more true to life, the engineers used a hydraulic system to pull down on the floors, simulating the weight of occupants and moveable objects like furniture. The beams were also coated in fireproofing material with a two-hour fire-resistance rating to meet building code requirements, Choe said.

Inside a fireproof compartment, three natural-gas fueled burners torched the floors from below, releasing heat as rapidly as a real [building](#) fire. While the compartment warmed up, various instruments measured the forces felt by the beams along with their deformation and temperature.

As temperatures within the compartment surpassed 1,000 C, the expanding beams, having been constrained between two support columns, began to buckle near their ends.

No floor came out of the fire tests scot-free, but some withstood more than others. After around one hour of heating, the shear tab connections of one [beam](#)—now having dipped down by more than two feet—fractured, leading to collapse. The beams with double angle connections, however, beat the heat and remained intact. That is, until they tumbled down hours after the furnaces were shut off, as the beams cooled and contracted back upwards, breaking the double angle connections.

While the study's small sample size means conclusions about buildings in general could not be drawn, Choe and her team did find that the beams with double angle connections endured greater forces and deformations from the temperature changes than those with shear tab connections.

"The influence of the thermal elongation and contraction is something that we shouldn't ignore for the design of steel structures exposed to

fires. That's the big message," Choe said.

Toward the goal of more robust designs, these results provide invaluable data for researchers developing predictive fire models that could lay a foundation for buildings that resist not only burns, but the force of fire.

More information: Lisa Choe et al, Behavior and Limit States of Long-Span Composite Floor Beams with Simple Shear Connections Subject to Compartment Fires: Experimental Evaluation, *Journal of Structural Engineering* (2020). [DOI: 10.1061/\(ASCE\)ST.1943-541X.0002627](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)ST.1943-541X.0002627)

Provided by National Institute of Standards and Technology

Citation: How fire causes office-building floors to collapse (2020, March 24) retrieved 27 April 2024 from <https://techxplore.com/news/2020-03-office-building-floors-collapse.html>

| |
|--|
| <p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p> |
|--|