

Elon Musk's 'hardcore' management style: A case study in what not to do

November 23 2022, by Libby (Elizabeth) Sander



Leah Culver ✓
@leahculver



 Twitter do your thing

What should I wear to the office today as a “hardcore” engineer?
Reply with pics thanks.

2:54 AM · Nov 17, 2022 · Twitter for iPhone

Credit: Twitter, CC BY

As a case study in how to implement organizational change, Elon Musk's actions at Twitter will go down as the gold standard in what not to do.

Among other things, [the evidence shows](#) successful organizational change requires: a clear, compelling vision that is communicated effectively; [employee](#) participation; and fairness in the way change is implemented. Trust in leaders is [also crucial](#).

Musk, the world's richest man, appears in a hurry to make Twitter into a

money-spinner. But it takes time to understand the requirements for successful organizational change. [Two in three](#) such efforts fail, resulting in significant costs, a [stressed workforce](#) and loss of key talent.

Change management never quite goes to plan. It's hard to figure out whether Musk even has a plan at all.

Musk's 'extremely hardcore' style

Since taking over Twitter on October 27, Musk has stopped employees working from home, canceled employee lunches, and [laid off](#) about 3,700 employees—roughly half of Twitter's workforce. Many realized they had been sacked when they could no longer [access their laptops](#).

Just days later it [emerged](#) that Musk had a team of snoopers comb through employees' private messages on Slack, [firing those](#) who had criticized him.

Then, on Wednesday last week, Musk sent an ultimatum to staff to pledge commitment to a new "extremely hardcore" Twitter that "will mean working long hours at a high intensity". Employees had until 5pm the next day to accept, or take a severance package.

About 500 staff reportedly [wrote farewell messages](#).

Musk appears not to have anticipated this reaction. As the "hardcore" deadline approached, he started bringing key staff into meetings, trying to convince them to stay.

He also walked back his working-from-home ban, [emailing staff](#) that "all that is required for approval is that your manager takes responsibility for ensuring that you are making an excellent contribution".

It was unsuccessful. So many employees decided to leave that on Friday Twitter [locked all staff](#) out of its office until Monday amid confusion as to who actually still worked there and should have access.

Layoffs and restructuring are common in organizational change. But the way they are managed has significant [effects](#) on those who are leaving, as well as those who remain. If you want employees to be committed and to respond to a crisis, telling them they are lazy and threatening them won't help.

Choice matters

But what about SpaceX and Tesla—the companies on which Musk has built his fame and fortune? Doesn't their success prove he is a good leader?

Not so fast. There is a big difference between a mission-driven company like SpaceX and a platform like Twitter.

When there is a common mission to achieve something extraordinary or which hasn't been done before, [employees](#) will often willingly work extremely long hours in difficult situations.

They will choose to go above and beyond and work long hours if they feel aligned with the organization's purpose or that their work matters. But the key point here is that they choose.

As one [Twitter employee](#) tweeted after Musk's "hardcore" email:

"I didn't want to work for someone who threatened us over email multiple times about only 'exceptional tweeps should work here' when I was already working 60-70 hours weekly."

Musk ignores the fundamentals

Both Tesla and SpaceX have [many unhappy employees](#), with lawsuits filed over working conditions and Musk's management style.

He has been commended for his [thinking](#) on iterative design and solving engineering problems. Challenging old models that may no longer be useful is important. But the [fundamentals](#) of leadership and organizational change are still essential—and on these, Musk falls woefully short.

While his employees—real people who aren't billionaires and who have rent or mortgages to pay—were grappling with what being "hardcore" even means, and how that might impact their ability to have a life outside work, Musk was tweeting about his poll on whether former US president Donald Trump should be allowed back on the platform.

Then, after Trump declined to return, Musk tweeted the following:



Elon Musk  @elonmusk · 5h
And lead us not into temptation ...



The idea of any other chief executive sending such a message on social media almost defies belief.

Some have suggested this whole debacle is an ego trip for Musk—a theory lent credence by his attempt to [get out of the deal](#). His actions pose a significant risk to the business even if there are still enough

employees around to keep it working.

Twitter's former head of trust and safety, Yoel Roth, who resigned on November 10, [wrote last week](#):

"Almost immediately upon the acquisition's close, a wave of racist and antisemitic trolling emerged on Twitter. Wary marketers, including those at General Mills, Audi and Pfizer, slowed down or paused ad spending on the platform, kicking off a crisis within the company to protect precious ad revenue."

But even more powerful than the advertisers, Roth noted, are the digital storefronts of Apple and Google:

"Failure to adhere to Apple's and Google's guidelines would be catastrophic, risking Twitter's expulsion from their app stores and making it more difficult for billions of potential users to get Twitter's services."

Organizations are complex, interdependent systems, underpinned by a web of behavioral processes. Creating [successful change](#) requires aligning individual, work group and organizational goals.

Even if the little blue bird is still flying for now, the people-led systems that keep it aloft are under significant threat.

This article is republished from [The Conversation](#) under a Creative Commons license. Read the [original article](#).

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Elon Musk's 'hardcore' management style: A case study in what not to do (2022,

November 23) retrieved 26 April 2024 from <https://techxplore.com/news/2022-11-elon-musk-hardcore-style-case.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.